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Unpacking NFM process for maximizing opportunities for gender programming

1. Where a robust National Strategy or NSP is available

- National Strategic Plan
- Country Dialogue
- Concept Note (prioritized full demand)

Evidence-based prioritization of activities

- Concept Note
- Grant-making
- TRP review

TRP review

- Recommend in indicative range
- Recommend above indicative range

Gender assessment report can be a TRP or GAC reference (or a shadow report) material

- Grant-making
- Board approval

Incentive stream

- Unfunded queued demand

- Recommended for funding by incentive pool or as resources available

Guidance package

- Indicative funding range
- Minimum Standards
- Secretariat Info / Analysis
- SIFs and tools

Demonstrate strategic values of gender-responsive programs based on epidemics

- Share lessons learned with GFS
- Give feedback on tools (i.e., unpacking SIF “critical enablers” modules)

Ensure gender responsive programs are included and prioritized (and gaps identified) in NSPs

- Ensure gender responsive programs are included and prioritized (and gaps identified) in NSPs
What Gender Partners can help us becoming more gender responsive (esp. with NFM)

• Gender responsive & sensitive NSP
• Country-owned gender gap analysis with evidence (= Gender focused KYE/KYR to inform decision making)
• Strong in-country partnership for gender and HIV advocacy
• Consolidated “women & girls investment case” agreed by all key stakeholders
• Prioritized (and preferably costed) gender responsive programs for proposal
• M&E framework to keep monitoring gender programs

Gender responsive & sensitive NFM concept note!!
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